

Interview Summary

Application No.	10/819,768	Applicant(s)	James E. et al.
Examiner	Michelle Loope	Action	Final
		Serial	8729

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel)

(1) Michelle Loope

(2).....

(2) David Loomer

(3).....

Date of interview: 28 October 2002

Type: Telephone Video Conference
 Personal copy given to: applicant applicant's representative

Spanish shown or demonstrated conducted: Yes No
If Yes, brief description:

Claims discussed: 1-5

Identification of prior art discussed: *positive*

Agreement with respect to the claims was reached. was not reached N/A.

Substance of interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments. Applicant suggested to cancel claim 1, which was the only claim rejected in view of the rejection made in the previous office action mailed on 3/2/02. Examiner agreed that claim 1 is not allowable and was referred to Xoozie. However, suggested the applicant to cancel the 3,18, 27 paragraph recite of claims 2 & 3 as set forth in the previous office action mailed on 3/2/02. In order to better set forth a claim, examiner will allowance a full description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.

THE OFFICIAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 718.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPEND ANY URGENT OR NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview Requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Initials